

Report No: 4786828253
Report Date: 2015-02-23



Test Report Prepared By:

UL, LLC.

Test Report Prepared For:

--Renegade Blade®--
Carbide Brush Cutter Blades

Dated: Feb 26, 2015





TABLE OF CONTENTS	Page
COVER PAGE	1
TABLE OF CONTENTS	2
TEST LOCATION INFORMATION	3
GENERAL INFORMATION	4
SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION	5
IMPACT/OBSTRUCTION TEST	6
OVERSPEED TEST	7
ENDURANCE OF BRUSH CUTTER BLADE TEET SHARPNESS TEST	8
DURABILITY OF CARBIDE TEETH TEST	12

Table 1 "List of tests"

APPENDIX	Page
INSTRUMENT REFERENCE LIST	14

Table 2 "Appendix"



Report No: 4786828253
Report Date: 2015-02-23



Test Location Information	
Name	UL, LLC.
Address	12 Laboratory Drive Research Triangle Park Durham, North Carolina 27709-3995
Client Information	
Name	B&G International LLC
Address	3514 E Roosevelt Ave., Tacoma, WA 98404
Report Information	
Report Number	4786828253
Report Date	2015-02-23
Standard References	N/A
Product Information	
Type	Carbide Tipped Saw Blade
Product	Circular Brush Cutter Saw Blade [8-inch diameter - 80 teeth]
Testing Engineer	
Name/Signature	Daniel J. Carter
Laboratory Review	
Name/Signature	Judson P. Jagers Sr.



General Information

Information conveyed by this Report applies only to the test sample(s) actually tested. UL Company did not select the sample(s), determine whether the sample(s) was representative of production sample(s), nor was UL provided with information relative to the formulation or identification of component materials used in the test sample(s).

UL Company has not established a factory Follow-Up Service Program to determine the conformance of subsequently produced products, nor has any provision been made to apply any registered mark of UL to such product(s). The issuance of this Report in no way implies any Listing, Classification or Recognition by UL Company and does not authorize use of UL's marks, or other reference to UL, on the product or system. UL's name and marks cannot be used in any packaging, advertising, promotion or marketing relating to the product and data in this Report, without UL Company's prior written permission.

UL Company's services are provided independently of UL's Conformity Assessment services such as preliminary product investigations, product certification, or field evaluation services. UL Company does not provide specific product design solutions, including prescriptive revisions to existing or prospective product designs. UL Company does not guarantee, warranty or provide an assurance (express or implied) that a positive test result, compliance report, or issuance of a UL certification mark will result from the testing performed or the information contained in this Report.

In no event shall UL be responsible to anyone for whatever use or non-use is made of the information contained in this Report and in no event shall UL Company, its employees, or its agents incur any obligation or liability for damages including, but not limited to, consequential damage arising out of or in connection with the use or inability to use the information contained in this Report.

"UL Company" means a UL Contracting Party or an entity controlled by, controlling, or under common control with a UL Contracting Party. "Control" means the possession, directly or indirectly, of the power to direct or cause the direction of the management and policies of an entity, whether through ownership of more than 50% of the interests in such entity, by contract, or otherwise. The UL Company identified or referred to in a quotation or project confirmation or service agreement shall be referred to as "UL Contracting Party".



Report No: 4786828253
Report Date: 2015-02-23



UL, LLC. INTERNAL DATA

Sample Identification			
Sample No.	Sample Identification Number	Date Received	Product Description /Serial Number
1	2062735 (RB-8-80t)	2015-02-18	Circular Brush Cutter Saw Blade [8-inch diameter – 80 teeth]

Table 1 "Sample identification list"





UL, LLC. INTERNAL DATA			
Tested by:	Judson P. Jaggers Sr	Test Date:	2015-02-25
Sample Tested:	RB-8-80t -1	Instrument Code/Range:	MG1305-ICO(tape measure), WE1156-ICO (2 inch impact ball)

ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS

Humidity/ [%r.H]	Barometric Pressure / [mBar]	Ambient / [°C]	Date
27	1000	23.0	2015-02-25

CIRCULAR BRUSH CUTTER SAW BLADE IMPACT TEST

Description and Setup

Two samples of the carbide tipped circular saw blade No. [RB-8-80t-1,2], 0.0525 in. thick were mounted in a vise with a steel rod through the center arbor hole. A 2 in. (50.8 mm) diameter steel ball weighing 1.18 lb (0.535 kg) was dropped 5 ft. onto the carbide tips (teeth) in a direction perpendicular to the vise top surface. For each blade, each of three (3) separate carbide tips (teeth) were impacted.

Sample No.	Area of Impact	Observations
1	0.0 deg	Blade tip dulled, not cracked
	60.0 deg	Blade tip dulled, not cracked
	240.0 deg	Blade tip dulled, not cracked
2	0.0 deg	Blade tip dulled, not cracked
	120.0 deg	Blade tip dulled, not cracked
	240.0 deg	Blade tip dulled, not cracked

Table 2 Results " CIRCULAR SAW BLADE IMPACT TEST "

Results - There was **NO** separation of the carbide tips from the blade at the bonded joint.





UL, LLC. INTERNAL DATA			
Tested by:	Judson P. Jagers Sr	Test Date:	2015-03-12
Sample Tested:	RB-8-80t -10	Instrument Code/Range:	TA0112; RPM TD0337; seconds

ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS

Humidity/ [%r.H]	Barometric Pressure / [mBar]	Ambient / [°C]	Date
42	1013	23.0	2015-03-12

OVERSPEED TEST – of Blade Teeth and Blade Disc integrity

Description and Setup

Rotate one sample of blade for 1,000,000 revolutions at maximum testing equipment allowable speed.

Afterwards, examine blade after completion of test to ensure blade is intact and not visibly damaged.

Sample No.	Intact (Y/N)	Observations
1	Y	See Results below

Table 3 Results "OVERSPEED TEST"

LAB NOTE: The blade was rotated @ 5200 RPMs. No damage was observed or teeth dislodged following 1,000,000 revolutions. PJ, 2015-03-12.

Results: The [RB-8-80t-] blade was rotated @ 5200 RPMs. After the 1,000,000 revolutions, **NO** damage was observed; including no teeth being dislodged and no blade disc warping.





UL, LLC. INTERNAL DATA			
Tested by:	Judson P. Jaggers Sr.	Test Date:	2015-03-11
Sample Tested:	RB-8-80t -6,7,8,9	Instrument Code/Range:	85406;0-99.999 seconds WD0036; lbs. TA0112; RPM

ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS

Humidity/ [%r.H]	Barometric Pressure / [mBar]	Ambient / [°C]	Date
32	1014	22.5	2015-03-11

ENDURANCE OF BLADE TEETH SHARPNESS

Description and Setup

LAB NOTE:

Two separate blade speeds were tested at the indicated speeds: 4000 RPMs & 5200 RPMs

Two separate 2 inch diameter wood dowels were tested at each speed: 1-Hemlock & 1-Red Oak

PROCEDURE: To test the blade teeth sharpness as measured by gradual [decline] of sharpness over cutting time, the following testing was performed.

TEST: First verifying speed is correct, then cutting through 1 sample of 2 in. wood dowel, recording the time to cut completely through the dowel. The applied force of the wood dowel against the blade during entire cutting was maintained at a constant [one pound] of pressure. Next, the cutting time was measured and recorded. Such cuts were repeated 40 times in succession looking for cutting time (in seconds) to gradually increase, implying blade sharpness [decline].





Results: 4000 RPMs

Speed Slow
 RPM = 4000
 Blade RB-8-80t -6 used for Hemlock
 Blade RB-8-80t -8 used for Red Oak
 Force = 1.0 lbs

	2" Hemlock Seconds	2" Red Oak Seconds		2" Hemlock Seconds	2" Red Oak Seconds
1	3.7	6.7	26	3.8	6.6
2	3.5	7.1	27	3.5	6.6
3	3.7	6.8	28	3.9	6.4
4	3.7	6.7	29	3.9	6.8
5	3.6	6.7	30	3.9	6.6
6	3.7	6.8	31	3.8	6.5
7	3.9	6.2	32	3.9	6.6
8	3.7	6.5	33	3.7	6.6
9	3.6	6.5	34	3.6	6.4
10	3.8	6.4	35	3.8	6.7
11	3.9	6.4	36	3.9	6.6
12	3.6	6.4	37	3.7	6.7
13	3.6	6.3	38	3.8	6.4
14	3.6	6.4	39	3.7	6.5
15	3.6	6.5	40	3.9	6.5
16	3.9	6.6	41		
17	3.8	7.1	42		
18	3.6	6.6	43		
19	3.9	6.4	44		
20	3.7	6.6	45		
21	3.6	7.2	46		
22	3.6	6.9	47		
23	3.5	6.9	48		
24	3.5	6.6	49		
25	3.7	6.7	50		

Table 4 Results "Endurance Test"





Results: 5200 RPMs

Speed Hi
 RPM = 5200
 Blade RB-8-80t -7 used for Hemlock
 Blade RB-8-80t -9 used for Red Oak
 Force = 1.0 lbs

	2" Hemlock Seconds	2" Red Oak Seconds		2" Hemlock Seconds	2" Red Oak Seconds
1	3.6	5.8	26	2.9	3.6
2	3.6	6.5	27	3.1	3.7
3	3.3	4.8	28	3.0	4.0
4	3.3	5.1	29	2.7	3.9
5	3.3	4.8	30	2.9	3.8
6	3.2	4.4	31	2.6	4.1
7	3.1	4.2	32	2.8	4.2
8	2.9	4.0	33	2.8	4.3
9	2.8	4.0	34	3.0	3.6
10	3.0	3.9	35	3.1	4.2
11	2.8	3.8	36	2.9	4.2
12	2.8	3.9	37	3.2	3.9
13	2.9	4.4	38	2.9	4.0
14	2.8	4.2	39	2.8	4.2
15	2.7	4.0	40	2.6	4.0
16	2.6	4.4	41		
17	2.6	3.8	42		
18	2.8	3.8	43		
19	2.7	3.8	44		
20	2.9	3.6	45		
21	2.8	3.8	46		
22	2.6	4.0	47		
23	2.6	3.8	48		
24	2.5	4.0	49		
25	2.7	3.8	50		

Table 5 Results "Endurance Test"





RESULTS: **NO** major increase in time to cut through dowel was noticed after 40 cuts. Both hardwood and softwood was used as reference material. After 40 cuts through each, there was **NO** noticeable increase in time required to cut through wood nor was there a noticeable decline in the performance of the blade.

LAB NOTE regarding WOOD DOWELS used:

THICKNESS: The Lab purposely did not cut square wood products but instead opted for round wood dowels to best simulate tree branches (limbs) encountered in field conditions. The Lab chose 2 inch diameter dowels as a fair thickness avoiding thinner (e.g. 1 inch) so as not to skew false favorable results.

JANKA WOOD HARDNESS: The Lab chose 2 [Janka] wood hardness levels that would simulate a wide range of tree hardness types encountered in field conditions. We purposely avoided soft pine so as not to skew false favorable results.

Wood Hardness used:

[Hemlock -- Janka hardness rated @ 500]
[Red Oak -- Janka hardness rated @ 1290]



Report No: 4786828253
 Report Date: 2015-02-23



UL, LLC. INTERNAL DATA			
Tested by:	Judson P. Jaggers Sr	Test Date:	2015-02-26
Sample Tested:	RB-8-80t -11,6,2,4,5	Instrument Code/Range:	TA0112 – Tachometer (RPM's)

ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS

Humidity/ [%r.H]	Barometric Pressure / [mBar]	Ambient / [°C]	Date
36.0	986	22.0	2015-03-16

DURABILITY of CARBIDE TEETH – STEEL PIPE

Description and Setup

LAB NOTE: A Stihl FS110R Garden Trimmer was fitted with the blades provided for testing. Blade RPM was approximately 7200 for each test. PJ, 2015-02-26.

PROCEDURE: Three (3) separate tests were used in this section to test the [durability] of the carbide teeth. Durability is defined as teeth not becoming chipped, cracked or dislodged from blade disc.

TEST #1 - Blade OBSTRUCTION Test: Firmly move blade into 2 inch diameter metal pipe while rotating at 7200 RPMs to stimulate blade hitting metal post (metal fencing) during usage then examine blade after impact.

<p>RESULTS: Sample [RB-8-80t-11,6,2] -- Metal pipe was used to simulate a metal post that might be encountered in field conditions. Sample #11 -- NO damage Sample #6 – One (1) carbide tooth missing after impact Sample #2 -- Two (2) carbide teeth missing after impact PJ, 2105-03-16</p>



Report No: 4786828253
Report Date: 2015-02-23



DURABILITY of CARBIDE TEETH - CONCRETE

Description and Setup

LAB NOTE: A Stihl FS110R Garden Trimmer was fitted with the blades provided for testing. Blade RPM was approximately 7200 for each test. PJ, 2015-02-26.

TEST #2 - Blade **STOPPAGE** Test: Firmly move blade into concrete slab while rotating at 7200 RPMs to simulate blade hitting rock/concrete during usage. Examine blade after impact.

RESULTS: Sample [RB-8-80t-4] -- Sample blade struck concrete 1 time and **NO** teeth were dislodged. There was **NO** discernible drop in blade performance after contacting the concrete. PJ, 2015-02-26



DURABILITY of CARBIDE TEETH - STEEL BALL

Description and Setup

LAB NOTE: A Stihl FS110R Garden Trimmer was fitted with the blades provided for testing. Blade RPM was approximately 7200 for each test. PJ, 2015-02-26.

TEST #3 - Blade **IMPACT** Test: Impact blade with 1/2" diameter steel ball while rotating at 7200 RPMs to simulate nails and rocks impacting the teeth in field conditions. Examine blade after impact.

RESULTS: Sample [RB-8-80t-5] -- Sample struck 1 time with a one-half inch diameter steel ball, **NO** teeth were dislodged. Only slight deformation of blade was observed. There was **NO** discernible drop in blade performance after contacting steel ball. PJ, 2015-02-26



Report No: 4786828253
Report Date: 2015-02-23



APPENDIX – INSTRUMENT REFERENCE LIST

All Instruments noted on individual test pages (UL, LLC. INTERNAL DATA).



Report No: 4786828253
Report Date: 2015-02-23



END OF DATASHEET PACKAGE. THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

